ERS 2020

Feasibility and benefits of an innovative airway clearance device in COPD patients hospitalized for acute exacerbation

Ivan Solovic^{1,2} Alena Bodnarova¹ Laurent Morin³

INTRODUCTION

Airway clearance devices (ACDs) may improve symptoms and lung function in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) with mucus hyperproduction or bronchiectasis but innovation needs to be evaluated.

AIM

Aim of study was to assess feasibility and effects of a new ACD in hospitalized COPD patients suffering from chest congestion despite optimal medical treatment.

METHODS

32 patients with AECOPD and symptoms of excessive mucus congestion were treated during 6 days with medical treatment, pulmonary rehabilitation and airway clearance with either manual chest physiotherapy (control; n=13) or new ACD (Simeox, Physio-Assist; n=19) that facilitates bronchial drainage by generating pulses of negative air pressure during relaxed exhalations. Usability, spirometry, CAT score, safety and tolerance were evaluated.

Data were compared using non-parametric paired or unpaired test (Man-Whitney-Wilcoxon or Wilcoxon signed rank test). All analyses will be performed using XLSTAT 2019.4.2 (Addinsoft) software for Mac. A p-value <0.05 will be considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Baseline: age 67.3±6.7, 69% male, GOLD II/III/IV: 19%/31%/50%, GOLD B/C/D: 3%/19%/78%, 31% bronchiectasis (BE), 66% had ICS/LABA/LAMA, CAT score 29.0±3.3. Control and device group have similar baseline data, except more ICS therapy in control (Table 1, 92% vs 58%).

Patient training required 15 min during the first session. No adverse event nor pain was reported.

FEV1 increased by $19\pm10\%$ and $14\pm5\%$ in device and control group (NS), respectively. However, improvement of CAT score was higher in device group (Fig 1a and b: $-34\pm9\%$ vs control: $-24\pm4\%$; p<0.001).

In very severe COPD (GOLD 4D), FEV1 (Fig 2a: $+24\pm10\%$ vs control: $+15\pm5\%$, p<0.05) and CAT Score (Fig 2b: $-33\pm9\%$ vs control: $-24\pm4\%$; p<0.05) were improved significantly with device therapy.

COPD with bronchiectasis seemed to benefit the most from device therapy (Fig 3a and b: FEV1: +28±6%, CAT -38+9%).

CONCLUSION

These results confirmed the feasibility of this new technology to manage mucus problems in COPD and suggested that it may contribute to improve respiratory symptoms and quality of life in the most severe patients.

	Ν	Gende (F)	GOLD stage			GOLD ABCD)	FEV1 (I)	mMRC	CAT score	Medication		n	Comorbidity		
				П	III	IV	в	C	D				LABA+ LAMA	LABA +ICS	LABA LAMA+ICS	Asthma	onchiectasis
Control	13	4	68.2±5.8	1	4	8	1	0	12	1.27±0.33	2.4±0.5	30.3±2.6	1	2	10	12	2
Simeox	19	6	66.6±7.3	5	6	8	0	6	13	1.30±0.39	2.7±0.7	28.2±3.6	8	0	11	11	7
P value		NS	NS		NS			0.04		NS	NS	NS		0.04		0.05	NS



26 -

LSOd 20

LAT 18

14

12

22

• Control • Simeox

24

26

CAT PRF







28 30 32 34 36



Fig 2b: CAT evolution in GOLD 4D subgroup



Fig 3b: CAT evolution in severe COPD+BE (Simeox group)

